

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,106	01/17/2002	Robin E. O'Brien	540-321	6167
23117 75	23117 7590 01/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	ANDERHYE, PC	GREGORY, BERNARR E		
ARLINGTON,	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F VA 22203	LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3662	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/052,106	O'BRIEN, ROBIN E.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Bernarr E. Gregory	3662	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 and 7 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 102(e) as being anticipated by Chitre et al ('339) or Chitre et al ('330).

Both of the applied references are from the same patent family, Chitre et al ('330) being the patent issued from the U.S. Stage of examination of the PCT application published as Chitre et al ('339). Chitre et al ('330) is applied to the instant claims under 35 USC 102(e), and Chitre et al ('339) is applied to the instant claims under 35 USC 102(b).

With respect to independent claim 1, please note in the Abstract of Chitre et al ('339) the "interleaving to reduce burst errors as claimed. Chitre et al ('330) discloses the same feature as Chitre et al ('339).

Application/Control Number: 10/052,106 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

With respect to the further limitations of dependent claim 2, these limitations are inherently met by either of the applied references in that the payload and the header are not disclosed as being processed simultaneously.

With respect to the further limitations of dependent claims 3 and 4, please note the discussion of Reed Solomon coding in the second full paragraph on page 18 of Chitre et al ('339). Chitre et al ('330) discloses the same feature as Chitre et al ('339).

With respect to the further limitations of dependent claim 7, the apparatus disclosed in either of the applied references would act to enhance resistance to burst errors and jamming. Please note, for example, the Abstract of Chitre et al ('339).

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 4 of independent claim 1, the uses of "empty/idle" and "eliminated/used" are indefinite and unclear in context.

On lines 4-5 of claim 1, it is unclear in context if the "wherein ..." phrase merely recites the inherent results arising from the method step recited on lines 3-4 (i.e., "interleaving the ATM cell header into an error correction transmission

Application/Control Number: 10/052,106 Page 4

Art Unit: 3662

frame"), or if the "wherein ..." phrase is intended to recited an additional method step.

Dependent apparatus claim 7 is indefinite and unclear in context in that it is unclear how an apparatus claim can further limit a method claim and in that the apparatus claim fails to recite even one item of structure. Please see MPEP 2164.08(a).

Dependent claims 2-4 and 7 are unclear in that they depend from unclear independent claim 1.

5. The Specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 in that it fails to provide a disclosure that would enable one of ordinary skill-in-the-art to make and/or to use the claimed apparatus.

Claim 7 is presented as an apparatus claim, but it fails to recite even a single structural element to define the claimed apparatus. Thus, apparatus claim 7 attempts to claim all possible structures that could be conceived to implement the method of claim 1, which are not disclosed. This is analogous to a single-means claim situation as set forth in MPEP 2164.08(a).

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Please see the remarks in the objection to the specification in section 5 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,106 Page 5

Art Unit: 3662

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number is (571) 272-6972. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernarr E. Gregory Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662